

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BILLY DRIVER, Jr.,

Plaintiff,

v.

UNITED STATES DIST. CT., EAST.
DIST. OF CALIF.,

Defendant.

No. 2:20-cv-1473 WBS DB P

ORDER

Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, together with a request to proceed in forma pauperis. A review of plaintiff's complaint shows that he is attempting to challenge a court ruling made in Driver v. ADA 1824 Panels, No. 1:19-cv-1718 DAD SAB. Filing an action in the Sacramento Division against the court is not the proper method to challenge a ruling made by judges in the Fresno Division of this court. This court construes plaintiff's filing as a motion for reconsideration of the June 24, 2020 ruling made in the Fresno action. As such, the court declines to rule on the motion or plaintiff's request to proceed in forma pauperis, and

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court shall close this case and to file plaintiff's complaint (ECF No. 1) in Driver v. ADA 1824 Panels, No. 1:19-cv-1718 DAD SAB.

///

1 Dated: August 28, 2020



2 WILLIAM B. SHUBB

3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12 DLB:9
13 DB/prisoner-civil rights/driv1473.scm jo
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28